

Mean-Field Games

Third lecture: Convergence Problem

François Delarue (Nice – J.-A. Dieudonné)

PGMO, March 7–8 2022

Based on joint works with E. Bayraktar, R. Carmona, P. Cardaliaguet,
A. Cecchin, A. Cohen, D. Crisan, J.F. Chassagneux, R. Foguen, D.
Lacker, J.M. Lasry, P.L. Lions, K. Ramaman

Part VII. Convergence Problem

Part VII. Convergence Problem

a. Connections between MFG and N -player game

Revisiting the N -player game

- Controlled dynamics

$$dX_t^i = \alpha_t^i dt + dW_t^i + \eta dB_t$$

- Cost functionals to player i

$$J^i(\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^N) = \mathbb{E}\left[g(X_T^i, \bar{\mu}_T^N) + \int_0^T \left(f(X_s^i, \bar{\mu}_s^N) + \frac{1}{2}|\alpha_s^i|^2\right) ds\right]$$

- Rigorous connection between N -player game and MFG?

Revisiting the N -player game

- Controlled dynamics

$$dX_t^i = \alpha_t^i dt + dW_t^i + \eta dB_t$$

- Cost functionals to player i

$$J^i(\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^N) = \mathbb{E} \left[g(X_T^i, \bar{\mu}_T^N) + \int_0^T \left(f(X_s^i, \bar{\mu}_s^N) + \frac{1}{2} |\alpha_s^i|^2 \right) ds \right]$$

- Rigorous connection between N -player game and MFG?
- Prove the convergence of the Nash equilibria as N tends to ∞

◦ difficulty \leadsto **no uniform smoothness** on the optimal feedback function $\alpha^{\star, N}$ w.r.t to N

$$\underbrace{\alpha_t^{\star, i, N}}_{\text{optimal control to player } i} = \alpha^{\star, N}(X_t^i; \underbrace{X^1, \dots, X^{i-1}, X^{i+1}, \dots, X^N}_{\text{states of the others}})$$

\leadsto no compactness on the feedback functions

◦ weak compactness arguments on the control (notion of relaxed controls) for equilibria over open loop controls [Lacker, Fischer] and, recently, closed loop [Lacker]

Revisiting the N -player game

- Controlled dynamics

$$dX_t^i = \alpha_t^i dt + dW_t^i + \eta dB_t$$

- Cost functionals to player i

$$J^i(\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^N) = \mathbb{E} \left[g(X_T^i, \bar{\mu}_T^N) + \int_0^T \left(f(X_s^i, \bar{\mu}_s^N) + \frac{1}{2} |\alpha_s^i|^2 \right) ds \right]$$

- Rigorous connection between N -player game and MFG?
- Prove the convergence of the Nash equilibria as N tends to ∞

◦ difficulty \leadsto **no uniform smoothness** on the optimal feedback function $\alpha^{\star, N}$ w.r.t to N

$$\underbrace{\alpha_t^{\star, i, N}}_{\text{optimal control to player } i} = \alpha^{\star, N}(X_t^i; \underbrace{X^1, \dots, X^{i-1}, X^{i+1}, \dots, X^N}_{\text{states of the others}})$$

\leadsto no compactness on the feedback functions and, recently, closed loop [Lacker]

◦ **use the master equation** [C D L L]: $(\mathcal{U}(t, X_t^i, \bar{\mu}_t^N))_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ and prove \approx equilibrium cost to player i (see the sequel)

Revisiting the N -player game

- Controlled dynamics

$$dX_t^i = \alpha_t^i dt + dW_t^i + \eta dB_t$$

- Cost functionals to player i

$$J^i(\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^N) = \mathbb{E}\left[g(X_T^i, \bar{\mu}_T^N) + \int_0^T \left(f(X_s^i, \bar{\mu}_s^N) + \frac{1}{2}|\alpha_s^i|^2\right) ds\right]$$

- Rigorous connection between N -player game and MFG?

- Construct approximate Nash equilibria (easier)

- limit setting \rightsquigarrow optimal control has the form

$$\alpha_t^* = -\partial_x \mathcal{U}(t, X_t, \underbrace{\mathcal{L}(X_t|B)}_{\text{population at equilibrium}})$$

- in N -player game, use $\alpha_t^{N,i} = -\partial_x \mathcal{U}(t, X_t^i, \bar{\mu}_t^N)$

- almost Nash \rightsquigarrow **cost decreases at most of ε_N under unilateral deviation** where $\varepsilon_N \rightarrow 0$

Part VII. Convergence Problem

b. Construction of approximate equilibria

[Lasry-Lions, Caines-Huang-Malhamé]

Implementing the limit optimal feedback

- Choose $\eta = 0$
 - don't require uniqueness \Rightarrow no Master equation!!
 - assume μ equilibrium and u^μ related value function
 - replace $\partial_x \mathcal{U}(t, x, \mu_t)$ by $\partial_x u^\mu(t, x)$
 - optimal feedback in environment μ

$$-\partial_x u^\mu(t, x)$$

◦ under same assumptions as before $\leadsto \partial_x u^\mu(t, \cdot)$ is Lipschitz continuous in x

- Go back to the dynamics of the finite player system
 - assume that σ is 1 (for simplicity)

$$dX_t^i = -\partial_x u^\mu(t, X_t^i) dt + dW_t^i$$

- compute first $\partial_x u^\mu$ and μ numerically and plug them!

Propagation of chaos

- N -player system

$$dX_t^i = -\partial_x u^\mu(t, X_t^i)dt + dW_t^i$$

- fits the framework of MKV SDE

- As N tends to ∞

- for k fixed

$$(X_t^1, \dots, X_t^k)_{0 \leq t \leq T} \xrightarrow[\mathcal{L}]{} \mathcal{L}((X_t^\star)_{0 \leq t \leq T})^{\otimes k}$$

- where $(X_t^\star)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ optimal dynamics in the limit

$$dX_t^\star = -\partial_x u^\mu(t, X_t^\star)dt + dW_t$$

- moreover,

$$\lim_{N \nearrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(W_2(\bar{\mu}_t^N, \mu_t) \right)^2 \right] = 0$$

Quasi-Nash property

- Notations

- $\alpha_t^i = -\partial_x u^\mu(t, X_t^i)$ controls taken from the limit feedback function

- call J^\star the optimal cost in the MFG setting

- under mild assumptions (●)

$$J(\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^N) \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} J^\star$$

- Check that $(\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^N)$ forms a **quasi-Nash equilibrium**

- change α^1 into β^1 and freeze the others (Nash over open loop)

- $\exists N_0$ s.t. for $N \geq N_0$, $A > 0$, $\exists C$ s.t.

$$\mathbb{E} \int_0^T |\beta_t^1|^2 dt \geq C \Rightarrow J^1(\beta^1, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^N) \geq J^\star + A$$

- for $A > 0$, $\exists (\varepsilon_N)_{N \geq 1} \downarrow 0$ s.t. 0, such that (●)

$$\mathbb{E} \int_0^T |\beta_t^1|^2 dt \leq A \Rightarrow \begin{aligned} J^1(\beta^1, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^N) &\geq J^\star - \varepsilon_N \\ J^i(\beta^1, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^N) &\geq J^\star - \varepsilon_N, \quad 2 \leq i \leq N \end{aligned}$$

Part VII. Convergence Problem

c. Connection between MFG and Nash system

[Cardaliaguet, D. , Lasry, Lions]

Nash System

- N player game equilibrium

- unique Markovian equilibrium with bounded feedback \leadsto
given by **Nash system** $\leadsto v^{N,i}$ value function to player i (●)

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t v^{N,i}(t, \mathbf{x}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_j \Delta_{x_j} v^{N,i}(t, \mathbf{x}) + \frac{\eta^2}{2} \sum_{j,k} \text{Tr} \partial_{x_j x_k}^2 v^{N,i}(t, \mathbf{x}) \\ - \sum_{j \neq i} \partial_{x_j} v^{N,j}(t, \mathbf{x}) \cdot \partial_{x_j} v^{N,i}(t, \mathbf{x}) \\ - \frac{1}{2} |\partial_{x_i} v^{N,i}(t, \mathbf{x})|^2 + f(x_i, \bar{\mu}_x^N) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

- mean field interaction $\bar{\mu}_x^N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \delta_{x_j}$

- boundary condition $v^{N,i}(T, \mathbf{x}) = g(x_i, \bar{\mu}_x^N)$

- The goal is to prove uniform convergence on \mathbb{R}^d

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^N} |v^{N,i}(t, \mathbf{x}) - \mathcal{U}(t, x_i, \bar{\mu}_x^N)| = 0$$

Connection with the master equation

- Strategy is to compare $u^{N,i}(t, \mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{U}(t, x_i, \bar{\mu}_x^N)$ with $v^{N,i}$

- First-order terms

$$\partial_{x_j} u^{N,i}(t, \mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} \partial_x \mathcal{U}(t, x_i, \bar{\mu}_x^N) + O(\frac{1}{N}) & \text{if } j = i \\ \frac{1}{N} \partial_\mu \mathcal{U}(t, x_i, \bar{\mu}_x^N)(x_j) & \text{if } j \neq i \end{cases}$$

- Hamiltonian

$$-\frac{1}{2} |\partial_{x_i} u^{N,i}(t, \mathbf{x})|^2 + f(x_i, \bar{\mu}_x^N) = -\frac{1}{2} |\partial_x \mathcal{U}(t, x_i, \bar{\mu}_x^N)|^2 + f(x_i, \bar{\mu}_x^N) + O(\frac{1}{N})$$

- drift terms

$$-\sum_{j \neq i} \partial_{x_j} u^{N,j}(t, \mathbf{x}) \cdot \partial_{x_j} u^{N,i}(t, \mathbf{x})$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j \neq i} \partial_x \mathcal{U}(t, x_j, \bar{\mu}_x^N) \cdot \partial_\mu \mathcal{U}(t, x_i, \bar{\mu}_x^N)(x_j) + O(\frac{1}{N})$$

$$= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_x \mathcal{U}(t, v, \bar{\mu}_x^N) \cdot \partial_\mu \mathcal{U}(t, x_i, \bar{\mu}_x^N)(v) d\bar{\mu}_x^N(v) + O(\frac{1}{N})$$

- up to $O(\frac{1}{N}) \rightsquigarrow$ fits 1st order of master eq. (●) at $x = x_i, \mu = \bar{\mu}_x^N$

Connection with the master equation

- Strategy is to compare $u^{N,i}(t, \mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{U}(t, x_i, \bar{\mu}_x^N)$ with $v^{N,i}$
- Using smoothness of \mathcal{U} at order 2 \leadsto we show

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u^{N,i}(t, \mathbf{x}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_j \Delta_{x_j} u^{N,i}(t, \mathbf{x}) + \frac{\eta}{2} \sum_{j,k} \text{Tr} D_{x_j, x_k}^2 u^{N,i}(t, \mathbf{x}) \\ - \sum_{j \neq i} \partial_{x_j} u^{N,i}(t, \mathbf{x}) \cdot \partial_{x_j} u^{N,j}(t, \mathbf{x}) \\ - \frac{1}{2} |\partial_{x_i} u^{N,i}(t, \mathbf{x})|^2 + (f(x_i, \bar{\mu}_x^N) + \underbrace{r^{N,i}(t, \mathbf{x})}_{|r^{N,i}| \leq C/N}) \end{aligned}$$

◦ with $\bar{x}^N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j$

- Propagation of reminder $O(1/N)$ among N players?

Part VII. Convergence Problem

d. A detour

Linear version

- Wish to use **smoothness of the limiting problem** to prove convergence of equilibria
- Illustration in the linear case without common noise \leadsto focus on a standard McKean-Vlasov equation

$$dX_t = b(X_t, \mathcal{L}(X_t))dt + dW_t$$

- **Analogue** of the master equation?
 - notice that $\mathcal{L}(X_t)$ only depends on $\mathcal{L}(X_0)$
 - define the semi-group

$$(\mathcal{P}_t \phi)(\mathcal{L}(X_0)) = \phi(\mathcal{L}(X_t^*)), \quad t \in [0, T], \quad \phi : \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

- **dynamics** of $\mathbb{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \ni \mu \mapsto \mathcal{P}_t \phi(\mu)$?

Linear version

- Wish to use **smoothness of the limiting problem** to prove convergence of equilibria
- Illustration in the linear case without common noise \leadsto focus on a standard McKean-Vlasov equation

$$dX_t = b(X_t, \mathcal{L}(X_t))dt + dW_t$$

- **Analogue** of the master equation?
 - define the semi-group

$$(\mathcal{P}_t \phi)(\mathcal{L}(X_0)) = \phi(\mathcal{L}(X_t^*)), \quad t \in [0, T], \quad \phi : \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

- **dynamics** of $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \ni \mu \mapsto \mathcal{P}_t \phi(\mu)$?
- Form of the master equation (●)

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t(\mathcal{P}_t \phi)(\mu) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} b(v, \mu) \cdot \partial_\mu(\mathcal{P}_t \phi)(\mu, v) d\mu(v) \\ - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \text{Trace}[\partial_v \partial_\mu(\mathcal{P}_t \phi)(\mu, v)] d\mu(v) = 0, \quad (\mathcal{P}_0 \phi)(\mu) = \phi(\mu) \end{aligned}$$

Linear version

- Illustration in the linear case without common noise \leadsto focus on a standard McKean-Vlasov equation

$$dX_t = b(X_t, \mathcal{L}(X_t))dt + dW_t$$

- Revisit **propagation of chaos** for a **particle system**

$$dX_t^i = b(X_t^i, \bar{\mu}_t^N)dt + dW_t^i, \quad \bar{\mu}_t^N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_j \delta_{X_t^j}$$

- expansion of $(\mathcal{P}_{T-t}\phi(\bar{\mu}_t^N))_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ (●) (●)

$$\begin{aligned} d[\mathcal{P}_{T-t}\phi(\bar{\mu}_t^N)] &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \partial_\mu \mathcal{P}_{T-t}\phi(\bar{\mu}_t^N)(X_t^i) \cdot dW_t^i \\ &+ \frac{1}{2N^2} \sum_{i=1}^N \text{Trace}[\partial_\mu^2 \mathcal{P}_{T-t}\phi(\bar{\mu}_t^N)(X_t^i, X_t^i)]dt \rightarrow_{N \nearrow \infty} 0 \end{aligned}$$

- \sim **behavior is close to that of original semi-group** (●)

Part VI. Convergence Problem

e. Adaptation to the nonlinear framework

Comparison of value functions

- **Equilibrium trajectories** of the N player game

$$dX_t^{N,i} = -\partial_{x_i} v^{N,i}(t, X_t^{N,1}, \dots, X_t^{N,N})dt + dW_t^i + \eta dB_t$$

- **Value processes**

$$Y_t^{N,i} = v^{N,i}(t, X_t^{N,1}, \dots, X_t^{N,N}), \quad Z_t^{N,i,j} = \partial_{x_j} v^{N,i}(t, X_t^{N,1}, \dots, X_t^{N,N})$$

$$\mathcal{Y}_t^{N,i} = u^{N,i}(t, X_t^{N,1}, \dots, X_t^{N,N}), \quad \mathcal{Z}_t^{N,i,j} = \partial_{x_j} u^{N,i}(t, X_t^{N,1}, \dots, X_t^{N,N})$$

- **Itô's formula**

$$dY_t^{N,i} = -\left(\frac{1}{2}|Z_t^{N,i,i}|^2 + f(X_t^{N,i}, \bar{\mu}_t^N)\right)dt + \sum_j Z_t^{N,i,j} \cdot (dW_t^j + \eta dB_t)$$

$$\begin{aligned} d\mathcal{Y}_t^{N,i} &= -\left(\frac{1}{2}|Z_t^{N,i,i}|^2 + f(X_t^{N,i}, \bar{\mu}_t^N) + r^{N,i}(t, X_t^{N,i})\right)dt \\ &\quad + \sum_j Z_t^{N,i,j} \cdot (Z_t^{N,j,j} - Z_t^{N,j,j})dt + \sum_j Z_t^{N,i,j} \cdot (dW_t^j + \eta dB_t) \end{aligned}$$

with $Y_T^i = g(X_T^i, \bar{\mu}_T^N)$ and $\mathcal{Y}_T^i = g(X_T^i, \bar{\mu}_T^N)$, and $\bar{\mu}_t^N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_j \delta_{X_t^{N,j}}$

Stability argument

- Difference between two dynamics

$$\begin{aligned}
 & d(\mathbf{y}_t^{N,i} - Y_t^{N,i}) \\
 &= -\left[\frac{1}{2} |\mathcal{Z}_t^{N,i,i}|^2 - \frac{1}{2} |Z_t^{N,i,i}|^2 + \underbrace{r^{N,i}(t, X_t^{N,i})}_{\sim C/N} \right] dt \\
 &+ \sum_j \underbrace{\mathcal{Z}_t^{N,i,j}}_{\leq C/N \text{ si } i \neq j} (Z_t^{N,j,j} - Z_t^{N,j,j}) dt \\
 &+ \sum_j (\mathcal{Z}_t^{N,i,j} - Z_t^{N,i,j}) \cdot dW_t^j + \left(\sum_j \mathcal{Z}_t^{N,i,j} - \sum_j Z_t^{N,i,j} \right) \cdot \eta dB_t
 \end{aligned}$$

- Observe that $\mathbf{y}_T^{N,i} = Y_T^{N,i}$
 - if no dt terms except $O(1/N)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbf{y}_t^{N,i} - Y_t^{N,i} \\
 &+ \int_t^T \sum_j (\mathcal{Z}_t^{N,i,j} - Z_t^{N,i,j}) \cdot dW_s^j + \left(\sum_j \mathcal{Z}_t^{N,i,j} - \sum_j Z_t^{N,i,j} \right) \cdot \eta dB_s = O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

Stability argument

- Difference between two dynamics

$$\begin{aligned}
 & d(\mathbf{y}_t^{N,i} - Y_t^{N,i}) \\
 &= -\left[\frac{1}{2}|\mathcal{Z}_t^{N,i,i}|^2 - \frac{1}{2}|\mathcal{Z}_t^{N,i,i}|^2 + \underbrace{r^{N,i}(t, X_t^{N,i})}_{\sim C/N}\right]dt \\
 &+ \sum_j \underbrace{\mathcal{Z}_t^{N,i,j}}_{\leq C/N \text{ si } i \neq j} (\mathcal{Z}_t^{N,j,j} - \mathcal{Z}_t^{N,j,j})dt \\
 &+ \sum_j (\mathcal{Z}_t^{N,i,j} - \mathcal{Z}_t^{N,i,j}) \cdot dW_t^j + \left(\sum_j \mathcal{Z}_t^{N,i,j} - \sum_j \mathcal{Z}_t^{N,i,j}\right) \cdot \eta dB_t
 \end{aligned}$$

- Observe that $\mathbf{y}_T^{N,i} = Y_T^{N,i}$
 - if no dt terms except $O(1/N)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{E}[|\mathbf{y}_t^{N,i} - Y_t^{N,i}|^2] \\
 &+ \mathbb{E} \int_t^T \sum_j |\mathcal{Z}_t^{N,i,j} - \mathcal{Z}_t^{N,i,j}|^2 + \eta \mathbb{E} \int_t^T \left|\sum_j \mathcal{Z}_t^{N,i,j} - \sum_j \mathcal{Z}_t^{N,i,j}\right|^2 ds = O\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

Stability argument

- Difference between two dynamics

$$\begin{aligned}
 & d(\mathbf{y}_t^{N,i} - Y_t^{N,i}) \\
 &= -\left[\frac{1}{2}|\mathcal{Z}_t^{N,i,i}|^2 - \frac{1}{2}|\mathcal{Z}_t^{N,i,i}|^2 + \underbrace{r^{N,i}(t, X_t^{N,i})}_{\sim C/N}\right]dt \\
 &+ \sum_j \underbrace{\mathcal{Z}_t^{N,i,j}}_{\leq C/N \text{ si } i \neq j} (\mathcal{Z}_t^{N,j,j} - \mathcal{Z}_t^{N,j,j})dt \\
 &+ \sum_j (\mathcal{Z}_t^{N,i,j} - \mathcal{Z}_t^{N,i,j}) \cdot dW_t^j + \left(\sum_j \mathcal{Z}_t^{N,i,j} - \sum_j \mathcal{Z}_t^{N,i,j}\right) \cdot \eta dB_t
 \end{aligned}$$

- Do as if $|\cdot|^2$ is Lipschitz \rightsquigarrow take the square and \mathbb{E} (●)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{E}\left[|\mathbf{y}_t^{N,i} - Y_t^{N,i}|^2 + \int_t^T \sum_{j=1}^N |\mathcal{Z}_s^{N,i,j} - \mathcal{Z}_s^{N,i,j}|^2 ds\right] \\
 & \leq \frac{C_\epsilon}{N^2} + \epsilon \mathbb{E} \int_t^T |\mathcal{Z}_s^{N,i,i} - \mathcal{Z}_s^{N,i,i}|^2 ds + \frac{\epsilon}{N} \sum_j \mathbb{E} \int_t^T |\mathcal{Z}_s^{N,j,j} - \mathcal{Z}_s^{N,j,j}|^2 ds
 \end{aligned}$$

Stability argument

- Difference between two dynamics

$$\begin{aligned} & d(\mathcal{Y}_t^{N,i} - Y_t^{N,i}) \\ &= -\left[\frac{1}{2}|\mathcal{Z}_t^{N,i,i}|^2 - \frac{1}{2}|Z_t^{N,i,i}|^2 + \underbrace{r^{N,i}(t, X_t^{N,i})}_{\sim C/N}\right]dt \\ &+ \sum_j \underbrace{\mathcal{Z}_t^{N,i,j}}_{\leq C/N \text{ si } i \neq j} (Z_t^{N,j,j} - Z_t^{N,j,j})dt \\ &+ \sum_j (\mathcal{Z}_t^{N,i,j} - Z_t^{N,i,j}) \cdot dW_t^j + \left(\sum_j \mathcal{Z}_t^{N,i,j} - \sum_j Z_t^{N,i,j}\right) \cdot \eta dB_t \end{aligned}$$

- To handle the square \rightsquigarrow exponential transform \Rightarrow final result

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |\mathcal{Y}_t^i - Y_t^i|^2\right] + \mathbb{E} \int_0^T |\mathcal{Z}_t^i - Z_t^{i,i}|^2 dt \leq \frac{C}{N^2}$$

- Inserting in the forward equation

$$dX_t^{N,i} = -Z_t^{N,i,i} dt + dW_t^i + \eta dB_t \approx -\mathcal{Z}_t^{N,i,i} dt + dW_t^i + \eta dB_t$$

Part VI. Convergence Problem

f. Fluctuations and deviations

[D., Lacker, Ramanan]

Nash Equilibrium

- N fixed $\leadsto N$ player game equilibrium described by PDE system
- $v^{N,i}(t, \mathbf{x})$ = equilibrium cost to player i when
the system starts from $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_N) \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^N$ at time t

- Trajectories at equilibrium

$$dX_t^i = -\partial_{x_i} v^{N,i}(t, X_t^1, \dots, X_t^N) dt + dW_t + \eta dB_t$$

$$\circ v^{N,i}(t, \mathbf{x}) \approx \mathcal{U}(t, x_i, \bar{\mu}_x^N) \quad \bar{\mu}_x^N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{x_i}$$

$$\circ \text{and } \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{X_t^i} \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{\bar{X}_t^i}$$

$$\text{with } d\bar{X}_t^i = -\partial_x \mathcal{U}(t, \bar{X}_t^i, \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{\bar{X}_t^i}) dt + dW_t^i + \eta dB_t$$

- Philosophy is LDP/CLT are the same for $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{X_t^i}$ and $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{\bar{X}_t^i}$

Principle CLT

- Call

$$v_t^N = \sqrt{N}(\mu_t^N - \mu_t)$$

- with $\mu_t^N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \delta_{X_t^{N,j}}$ and $\mu_t = \mathcal{L}(X_t^* | B)$

$$dX_t^{N,i} = -\partial_{x_i} v^{N,i}(t, X_t^{N,1}, \dots, X_t^{N,N}) dt + dW_t + \eta dB_t$$

$$dX_t^* = -\partial_x \mathcal{U}(t, X_t^*, \mathcal{L}(X_t^* | B)) dt + dW_t + \eta dB_t$$

- Typical question \rightsquigarrow take ϕ smooth

$$\langle \phi, v_t^N \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(x) dv_t^N(x) \Rightarrow ???$$

- more generally

$$v^N \Rightarrow ??? \text{ in } C([0, T]; H^*)$$

- H^* dual space of $H \rightsquigarrow H$ Hilbert space of test functions

H = Sobolev space of functions having λ_d derivatives with prescribed polynomial growth [Hitsuda-Mitoma, Kurtz-Xiong, Méléard]

Principle

- General principle

- compute the **cost** for $(\mu_t^N)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ to be away from $(\mu_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$

$$\mathbb{P}((\mu_t^N)_{0 \leq t \leq T} \approx (\nu_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}) \sim \exp[-NI((\nu_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T})]$$

$\rightsquigarrow I((\nu_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T})$ is the cost!

- If $\eta = 0$ (no common noise), fixed initial condition \Rightarrow

Dawson-Gartner

$$I^0((\nu_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \|\dot{\nu}_t - \mathcal{L}_{t, \nu_t}^* \nu_t\|_{\nu_t}^2 dt$$

- $\mathcal{L}_{t, \nu_t} f = \frac{1}{2} \Delta f - \partial_x \mathcal{U}(t, \cdot, \nu_t) \cdot \nabla f$, $\|\gamma\|_{\nu_t}^2 = \sup_{\langle \nu_t, |\nabla f|^2 \rangle \neq 0} \frac{\langle \gamma, f \rangle^2}{\langle \nu_t, |\nabla f|^2 \rangle}$

Part VI. Convergence Problem

g. Compactness methods

[Lacker, Djete]

General philosophy

- As before, take simple dynamics (without common noise)

$$dX_t^i = \alpha_t^i dt + dW_t^i$$

- but **do not use any knowledge about the limiting MFG** (e.g. no information about uniqueness/stability)

- Instead address directly convergence of

$$\bar{\mu}_t^N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{X_t^{*,i,N}}, \quad t \in [0, T]$$

with $(X^{*,1,N}, \dots, X_t^{*,N,N})$ a Nash equilibrium

- **weak convergence** of

$$\underbrace{\mathbb{P} \circ \left(t \in [0, T] \mapsto \bar{\mu}_t^N \right)^{-1}}_{Q_N} \in \mathcal{P}\left(C([0, T]; \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d))\right)?$$

- **argue by compactness**: call Q a limiting point of Q_N

Relaxed controls

- As already mentioned \leadsto no compactness on feedback functions
- **Embed controls into measures** $\leadsto q_t(da)dt = \delta_{\alpha_t}(da)$
 - reformulate the dynamics $\leadsto dX_t = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} a q_t(da)dt + dW_t$
 - reformulate the cost in environment $(\mu_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$

$$\mathbb{E} \left[g(X_T, \mu_T) + \int_0^T \left[f(X_t, \mu_t) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |a|^2 q_t(da) \right] dt \right]$$

Relaxed controls

- As already mentioned \leadsto no compactness on feedback functions

- **Embed controls into measures** $\leadsto q_t(da)dt = \delta_{a_t}(da)$

- reformulate the dynamics $\leadsto dX_t = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} a q_t(da)dt + dW_t$

- reformulate the cost in environment $(\mu_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$

$$\mathbb{E} \left[g(X_T, \mu_T) + \int_0^T \left[f(X_t, \mu_t) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |a|^2 q_t(da) \right] dt \right]$$

- **1st Step**: prove that, under limiting point Q , for a.e. $(\mu_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$,

$$\mu_t = \mathcal{L}(X_t^* | (\mu_s)_{0 \leq s \leq t})$$

with

$$dX_t^* = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} a q^*(t, X_t^*, (\mu_s)_{0 \leq s \leq t})(da)dt + dW_t$$

where $W \perp \mu$

Relaxed controls

- As already mentioned \leadsto no compactness on feedback functions
- **1st Step**: prove that, under limiting point Q , for a.e. $(\mu_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$,

$$\mu_t = \mathcal{L}(X_t^* | (\mu_s)_{0 \leq s \leq t})$$

with

$$dX_t^* = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} a q^*(t, X_t^*, (\mu_s)_{0 \leq s \leq t})(da) dt + dW_t$$

- **2st Step**: prove that, under limiting point Q , for a.e. $(\mu_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}^Q \left[g(X_T^*, \mu_T) + \int_0^T \left[f(X_t^*, \mu_t) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |a|^2 q^*(t, X_t^*, (\mu_s)_{0 \leq s \leq t})(da) \right] dt \right] \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_n} \sum_{i=1}^{N_n} \mathbb{E} \left[g(X_T^{*,i,N_n}, \bar{\mu}_T^{N_n}) + \int_0^T \left[f(X_t^{*,i,N_n}, \bar{\mu}_t^{N_n}) + \frac{1}{2} |\alpha_t^{*,i,N_n}|^2 \right] dt \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{with } \alpha_t^{*,i,N_n} = \alpha^{*,i,N_n}(t, (X_t^{*,j,N_n})_{j=1, \dots, N_n})$$

Identification of the limit

- It remains to prove that weak limits satisfy equilibrium condition!

Most challenging step in the proof

- 3rd Step: prove that for any other relaxed control q (in feedback form) and with

$$dX_t = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} aq(t, X_t, (\mu_s)_{0 \leq s \leq t})(da)dt + dW_t$$

it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{Q}} \left[g(X_T^*, \mu_T) + \int_0^T \left[f(X_t^*, \mu_t) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |a|^2 q^*(t, X_t^*, (\mu_s)_{0 \leq s \leq t})(da) \right] dt \right] \\ & \leq \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{Q}} \left[g(X_T, \mu_T) + \int_0^T \left[f(X_t^*, \mu_t) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |a|^2 q(t, X_t, (\mu_s)_{0 \leq s \leq t})(da) \right] dt \right] \end{aligned}$$

Identification of the limit

- It remains to prove that weak limits satisfy equilibrium condition!

Most challenging step in the proof

- 3rd Step: prove that for any other relaxed control q (in feedback form) and with

$$dX_t = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} aq(t, X_t, \mu_t)(da)dt + dW_t$$

it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}^Q \left[g(X_T^*, \mu_T) + \int_0^T \left[f(X_t^*, \mu_t) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |a|^2 q^*(t, X_t^*, (\mu_s)_{0 \leq s \leq t})(da) \right] dt \right] \\ & \leq \mathbb{E}^Q \left[g(X_T, \mu_T) + \int_0^T \left[f(X_t^*, \mu_t) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |a|^2 q(t, X_t, \mu_t)(da) \right] dt \right] \end{aligned}$$

Identification of the limit

- 3rd Step: prove that for any other relaxed control q and with

$$dX_t = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} aq(t, X_t, \mu_t)(da)dt + dW_t$$

it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}^Q \left[g(X_T^*, \mu_T) + \int_0^T \left[f(X_t^*, \mu_t) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |a|^2 q^*(t, X_t^*, (\mu_s)_{0 \leq s \leq t})(da) \right] dt \right] \\ & \leq \mathbb{E}^Q \left[g(X_T, \mu_T) + \int_0^T \left[f(X_t^*, \mu_t) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |a|^2 q(t, X_t, \mu_t)(da) \right] dt \right] \\ & \underbrace{=} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_n} \sum_{i=1}^{N_n} \mathbb{E} \left[g(X_T^{i, N_n}, \bar{\mu}_T^{-i, N_n}) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_0^T \left[f(X_t^{i, N_n}, \bar{\mu}_t^{-i, N_n}) + \frac{1}{2} |q(t, X_t^{i, N_n}, \bar{\mu}_t^{-i, N_n})|^2 \right] dt \right] \end{aligned}$$

◦ with X_t^{i, N_n} playing $q(t, X_t^{i, N_n}, \bar{\mu}_t^{-i, N_n})$ and the others in $\bar{\mu}_t^{-i, N_n}$ playing α^{*, j, N_n}

□ Pass to the limit into

$$J^i(\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^N) = \mathbb{E} \left[g(X_T^i, \bar{\mu}_T^N) + \int_0^T \left(f(X_s^i, \bar{\mu}_s^N) + \frac{1}{2} |\partial_x u^\mu(s, X_s^i)|^2 \right) ds \right]$$

\rightsquigarrow take the coefficients to be locally Lipschitz

$$|f(x', \mu') - f(x, \mu)| \leq C(1 + |x| + |x'| + M_2(\mu) + M_2(\mu'))(|x' - x| + W_2(\mu', \mu))$$

$$\rightsquigarrow M_2(\mu)^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |y|^2 d\mu(y)$$

□ Compare with X^i with $\bar{X}^{\star,i}$ and $\bar{\mu}^N$ with μ where

$$dX_t^{\star,i} = -\partial_x u^\mu(t, X_t^{\star,i}) dt + dW_t^i$$

□ Uniform controls on L^2 norms \Rightarrow error is mostly driven by

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left(\mathbb{E}[|X_t^i - X_t^{\star,i}|^2]^{1/2} + \mathbb{E}[W_2(\bar{\mu}_t^N, \mu_t)^2]^{1/2} \right)$$

\rightsquigarrow analysis \Rightarrow forget t and focus on $\mathbb{E}[W_2(\bar{\mu}_t^{\star,N}, \mu_t)^2]^{1/2}$, where $\bar{\mu}_t^{\star,N}$ is empirical distribution of $X_t^{\star,1}, \dots, X_t^{\star,N}$

$$X_0 \in L^q, q > 4 \Rightarrow N^{-2/\max(d,4)}(1 + \ln(N)1_{d=4})$$

(Dereich, Scheutzow, Schottstedt, 2013, Fournier, Guillin, 2015)



□ Pass to the limit into

$$J^1(\beta, \dots, \alpha^N) = \mathbb{E}\left[g(X_T^\beta, \bar{\mu}_T^{N,\beta}) + \int_0^T \left(f(X_s^\beta, \bar{\mu}_s^{N,\beta}) + \frac{1}{2}|\partial_x u^\mu(s, X_s^\beta)|^2\right) ds\right]$$

\rightsquigarrow where

$$dX_t^\beta = dt + dW_t, \quad \bar{\mu}_s^{N,\beta} = \frac{1}{N}\delta_{X_t^\beta} + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=2}^N \delta_{X_t^i}$$

\rightsquigarrow up to $O(1/N)$, suffices to handle

$$\mathbb{E}\left[g(X_T^\beta, \bar{\mu}_T^N) + \int_0^T \left(f(X_s^\beta, \bar{\mu}_s^N) + \frac{1}{2}|\partial_x u^\mu(s, X_s^\beta)|^2\right) ds\right]$$

\rightsquigarrow up to $O(N^{-1/\max(d,4)}(1 + \ln(N)1_{d=4})^{1/2})$, coincides with

$$\mathbb{E}\left[g(X_T^\beta, \mu_T) + \int_0^T \left(f(X_s^\beta, \bar{\mu}_s) + \frac{1}{2}|\partial_x u^\mu(s, X_s^\beta)|^2\right) ds\right]$$

□ Take a specific label $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$

$$dX_t^i = \alpha(t, X_t^1, \dots, X_t^{i-1}, X_t^i, X_t^{i+1}, \dots)dt + dW_t^i$$

◦ $\alpha : [0, T] \times (\mathbb{R}^d)^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ arbitrary bounded feedback function

□ For $j \neq i$

$$dX_t^j = -\partial_{x_j} v^j(t, X_t^1, \dots, X_t^{i-1}, X_t^i, X_t^{i+1}, \dots)dt + dW_t^j$$

□ Then (see Friedman (70's), Bensoussan and Frehse (80's))

$$v^{N,i}(t, \mathbf{x}) = \inf_{\alpha} \mathbb{E} \left[g(X_T^i, \bar{\mu}_T^{N,\alpha}) + \int_t^T \left(f(X_s^i, \bar{\mu}_s^{N,\alpha}) + \frac{1}{2} |\alpha(t, X_t^1, \dots, X_t^{i-1}, X_t^i, X_t^{i+1}, \dots)|^2 \right) dt \right]$$

$$\rightsquigarrow \bar{\mu}_t^{N,\alpha} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \delta_{X_t^j}$$

\rightsquigarrow optimal feedback is $(t, \mathbf{x}) \mapsto -\partial_{x_i} v^{N,i}(t, \mathbf{x})$



□ Master equation when $\eta = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t \mathcal{U}(t, x, \mu) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_x \mathcal{U}(t, \mathbf{v}, \mu) \cdot \partial_\mu \mathcal{U}(t, x, \mu, \mathbf{v}) d\mu(\mathbf{v}) \\ & - \frac{1}{2} |\partial_x \mathcal{U}(t, x, \mu)|^2 + f(x, \mu) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Trace}(\partial_x^2 \mathcal{U}(t, x, \mu)) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \text{Trace}(\partial_v \partial_\mu \mathcal{U}(t, x, \mu)(\mathbf{v})) d\mu(\mathbf{v}) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

□ Take $x = x_i$ and $\mu = \mu_x^N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \delta_{x_j}$ for some $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_N)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t \mathcal{U}(t, x_i, \bar{\mu}_x^N) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_x \mathcal{U}(t, \mathbf{v}, \bar{\mu}_x^N) \cdot \partial_\mu \mathcal{U}(t, x_i, \bar{\mu}_x^N, \mathbf{v}) d\bar{\mu}_x^N(\mathbf{v}) \\ & - \frac{1}{2} |\partial_x \mathcal{U}(t, x_i, \bar{\mu}_x^N)|^2 + f(x_i, \bar{\mu}_x^N) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Trace}(\partial_x^2 \mathcal{U}(t, x_i, \bar{\mu}_x^N)) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \text{Trace}(\partial_v \partial_\mu \mathcal{U}(t, x_i, \bar{\mu}_x^N)(\mathbf{v})) d\bar{\mu}_x^N(\mathbf{v}) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

□ Expand $\phi(\mathcal{L}(X_t^*))$ as

$$\begin{aligned}d[\phi(\mathcal{L}(X_t^*))] &= \mathbb{E}\left[b(X_t^*, \mathcal{L}(X_t^*)) \cdot \partial_\mu \phi(\mathcal{L}(X_t^*))\right]dt \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\left[\text{Trace}(\partial_v \partial_\mu \phi(X_t^*, \mathcal{L}(X_t^*)))\right]dt\end{aligned}$$

\rightsquigarrow get

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \mathcal{P}_t \phi(\mu) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} b(v, \mu) \cdot \partial_\mu \phi(\mu)(v) d\mu(v) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \text{Trace}(\partial_v \partial_\mu \phi(v, \mu)) d\mu(v)\end{aligned}$$



□ Recall

$$(\mathcal{P}_t \phi)(\mathcal{L}(X_0)) = \phi(\mathcal{L}(X_t^\star))$$

\rightsquigarrow therefore

$$(\mathcal{P}_{T-t} \phi)(\mathcal{L}(X_t^\star)) = \phi(\mathcal{L}(X_{T-t}^{\mathcal{L}(X_t^\star), \star})) = \phi(\mathcal{L}(X_T^\star))$$

\Rightarrow test how far

$$(\mathcal{P}_{T-t} \phi(\bar{\mu}_t^N))_{0 \leq t \leq T}$$

is from being constant?

□ Expand

$$\begin{aligned}d[\mathcal{P}_{T-t}\phi(\bar{\mu}_t^N)] &= -\partial_t \mathcal{P}_{T-t}\phi(\bar{\mu}_t^N)dt \\ &+ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \partial_\mu \mathcal{P}_{T-t}\phi(\bar{\mu}_t^N)(X_t^i) \cdot b(X_t^i, \mathcal{L}(X_t^\star))dt \\ &+ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \text{Trace}(\partial_v \partial_\mu \mathcal{P}_{T-t}\phi(\bar{\mu}_t^N)(X_t^i))dt \\ &+ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \partial_\mu \mathcal{P}_{T-t}\phi(\bar{\mu}_t^N)(X_t^i) \cdot dW_t^i \\ &+ \frac{1}{2N^2} \sum_{i=1}^N \text{Trace}[\partial_\mu^2 \mathcal{P}_{T-t}\phi(\bar{\mu}_t^N)(X_t^i, X_t^i)]dt\end{aligned}$$



□ Conclusion

$$\mathbb{E}\left[|\phi(\bar{\mu}_T^N) - \mathcal{P}_T\phi(\bar{\mu}_0^N)|^2\right] \leq \frac{C}{N}$$

□ Suffices to discuss

$$\mathbb{E}\left[|\mathcal{P}_T\phi(\mu_0) - \mathcal{P}_T\phi(\bar{\mu}_0^N)|^2\right]$$

\rightsquigarrow Here, $\bar{\mu}_0^N$ i.i.d. sample from μ_0 !



□ Take backward SDE (say 1d to simplify)

$$Y_t = \int_t^T f_s ds + \int_t^T Z_s ds + \int_t^T Z_s dB_s$$

□ apply Itô's formula

$$Y_t^2 + \int_t^T Z_s^2 ds = 2 \int_t^T Y_s (f_s + Z_s) ds + 2 \int_t^T Y_s Z_s dB_s$$

↪ Take \mathbb{E} and use Young's type inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}[Y_t^2] + \mathbb{E} \int_t^T Z_s^2 ds \\ & \leq C_\epsilon \mathbb{E} \int_t^T |Y_s|^2 ds + \epsilon \mathbb{E} \int_t^T (f_s^2 + Z_s^2) ds \end{aligned}$$